

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,746	08/19/2003	Sofia Hermansson	018798-168	3752
21839 75	90 09/25/2006		EXAMINER	
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			GIBSON, KESHIA L	
	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			DATE MAIL FD: 09/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/642,746	HERMANSSON ET	AL.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	·
	Keshia Gibson	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 9/11/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	• **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: ____.

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PORTARY ENAMINER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added claim limitations raise new issue that would require further search and/or consideration and therefore have not been entered as a matter of right (MPEP 714.12).